

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/007,788	BONNETTE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul A Roberts	3731

All Participants:

(1) Paul A Roberts.

(3) ____.

(2) Brad Peterson.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2003

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The election of species was corrected by the applicant. There was a typographical error in the original restriction which was explained to the applicant. The applicant then proceeded to email the examiner the corrected response to the election of species.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)